



DISCUSSION OVERVIEW

01 Introductions
02 Project overview
03 Lighting market background & impacts
04 Project scope and budget



INTRODUCTIONS



Susan Haselhorst | Project Sponsor 30+ Years of Experience



Geoff Cooper | Project Manager 7+ Years of Experience





energy

resource

ers

PROJECT OBJECTIVE

Research Objectives:

- 1. Characterize the current status of the Connecticut C&I lighting market
- 2. Forecast the remaining potential for lighting programs
- 3. Update impact parameters for calculating lifetime gross savings

Program administrators (PAs) will use this information to target initiatives, allocate resources, and meet savings goals. Phase 1 results to be finalized in time for 2022 plan.

The Connecticut estimates will build upon approaches and leverage tools developed in Massachusetts, adapting to and recognizing Connecticut differences.







WHAT DOES CT'S C&I LIGHTING MARKET LOOK LIKE?

- Historic market share/sales data is unavailable in Connecticut, so we will need to collect this information to identify the remaining
 market potential.
- MA faced a similar problem and developed a detailed model to estimate and project– market share by equipment type. MA
 model is a stock turnover model calibrated to annual on-site saturation surveys. We can use this information in MA and available
 national data to guide a CT-specific model to estimate market share and saturation.



Massachusetts: LED Market Model forecasts (pending finalization)



WHAT THE ANALYSIS WILL SHOW FOR CT Example: Installed Stock Saturation (Program Scenario)



Example: LED Market Share (Program vs. Program Ending)



- For reference, here's what MA estimated for the period 2018-2027. CT will get similar estimates.
- Provides status and trajectory of C&I lighting market
- MA found the programs were succeeding in pushing customers toward higher efficiency LEDs rather than traditional TLED replacements:
 - 44% LED linear saturation in 2020 (28% national);
 - Linear growing rapidly toward LEDs, and
 - Lighting sales are dropping (because of LED lifetimes and socket conversions)



WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR THE PROGRAM?

Three Major Impacts

- Gross annual savings: Increasing saturation of LEDs (and longer life of LEDs) means there are fewer sockets available for upgrade \rightarrow decreasing of the first-year annualized savings and the AML. achievable potential.
- Net annual savings: Increasing natural adoption of LEDs -> decreasing net savings attributable to program.
- Lifetime savings: As technology stock becomes more efficient, the baseline for calculating savings increases over time, which means decreasing gross lifetime savings
 - > We account for replace on failure (ROF) and early replacement (ER) dual baselines using an adjusted measure life (AML).

PSD Update: AML

Gross lifetime savings for custom, prescriptive, and midstream programs should be assessed as a product

Recommended AMLs for Massachusetts

Application	Equipment Type	2020	2021	2022
Ambient Linear	TLED	8.5	8.2	8.0
Ambient Linear	LED Fixture	8.8	8.5	8.3

This would compare to what currently exists for lighting in Table A4-1: Lifetimes of Measures in the 2021 PSD. Current assumptions are 13 years for retrofit measures and 15 years for lost opportunity.





energyé

resource

ers

PHASE I RESEARCH ACTIVITIES

Phase 1 objective: the key objective for the first phase is to characterize the current status of the market in Connecticut with a focus on market share since that is a driver of LED saturation, gross lifetime savings, and remaining market potential.

Activities:

Distributor in-depth interviews - identify CT market share

- Conduct in-depth interviews (n=20) with distributors to understand past, present, and future market share in Connecticut (2019, 2021, 2023)
- Sample frame developed from participating <u>distributors</u> and distributors identified using SIC (5063) and NAICS (4236) code

Market model forecasting

- Leverage existing linear lighting stock turnover model (excel-based) and convert with Connecticut specific parameters to estimate installed stock, market share and sales, and program vs. nonprogram sales over time (2016-2028)
- Calibrate market model using CT market share from distributor interviews
- · Estimate remaining potential for linear submarkets

PHASE I RESULTS

- · Linear fixture estimates for each year (2016-2028) and by building type
 - Number of installed sockets
 - Number of new construction, burned out, retrofitted sockets
 - Technology saturations across all sockets (T12, T8, T5, TLED, and integrated LED fixtures)
 - Program continuing and program ending scenarios
- Statewide results
 - Market sales by technology type
 - Impact parameter values, including AMLs, EULs, and industry practice baselines
 - · Parameter recommendations for PSD
 - Remaining linear lighting market potential by building type for each year (2021-2028)
- · Findings and insights offered by market actors through IDIs

energy@ resource solutions



PHASE 1 BUDGET

Task	Description	Total
1	Project refinement, planning, interviews, kickoff	\$20,000
2	Survey design & implementation	\$34,000
3	Analysis and modeling	\$34,000
4	Draft/final report	\$15,000
Total		\$103,000

Balance to be used in Phase 2: \$296,000.

energyグ resource solutions

ers

energy or resource solutions

PHASE 1 DELIVERABLE SCHEDULE

All phase 1 deliverables will be completed by July 1st to inform program planning for 2022.

Schedule:

- Draft results memo by May 28th to the EAT
- · Draft results to Committee for review
- Final results memo to Committee by July 1st
- Webinar of results by July 5th
- · Phase 2 recommendations presentation/discuss with Committee in July

PHASE 2 RESEARCH

Phase 2 objective: the key objective for the second phase to validate and increase the certainty of the market estimates produced in phase 1. The data collection effort will depend on what the results are in phase 1 and where there are the biggest areas of uncertainty. Any additional research will be not be included in planning for 2022.

Phase 2 actions: the following is a list of potential phase 2 activities that will be determined after the results of phase 1 are produced:

Possible Phase 1 Outcome	Potential Phase 2 Activities	
CT historical market share looks similar to Massachusetts	 Conduct targeted customer on-sites in potential areas of remaining opportunity – customer segments, application submarkets, lighting controls Convene expert panel to review forecasts 	
CT historical market share looks similar to national trends/forecasts	 Convene expert panel to review market share results and model forecasts Conduct customer on-site/virtual surveys to validate market-level saturation Trade ally interviews to understand differences in the market compared to Massachusetts Conduct customer CATI survey to update ER vs. ROF split 	
Additional topics	 Update NTG depending on results of ongoing Massachusetts research Characterize current lighting purchasing behavior Estimate opportunity for network-enabled control technologies 	
	energy@ resource	





