MEMORANDUM

To:Scott Dimetrosky, EEB Evaluation Consultant

From:Zack Tyler, Matt Rusteika, Rob Baker, and Lisa Wilson-Wright, NMR Group

Date:October 16th, 2014

Re:R48: HES Additional Measure Review

*The 2014-2016 EEB Program Evaluation Plan* calls for the completion of a “Market Assessment/Literature Review/Performance Evaluation for Incorporation of High Performance Measures into HES/Res Programs”. This memo presents the findings of secondary research, which was conducted in order to examine market drivers and barriers associated with four measures not currently incentivized by the Home Energy Solutions (HES) and Home Energy Solutions-Income Eligible (HES-IE) programs. These measures may provide future energy savings opportunities for the State of Connecticut.

# Summary of Results

The evaluation team conducted secondary research for four measures that are not currently incentivized by either Connecticut Light and Power or the United Illuminating Company (from here on referred to as “The Companies”) and may present an opportunity for additional energy savings. Market drivers and barriers were identified for Wi-Fi thermostats, foundation wall insulation, water heater tank wrap insulation, and solar-assisted hot water (solar thermal) systems. Program experiences from other states have been summarized where applicable.

Below is a high-level summary of each measure along with a recommendation for the EEB. Additional details can be found in the body of the memo.

## Wi-Fi Thermostats

The primary purpose of Wi-Fi thermostats (sometimes referred to as “smart” thermostats) is to allow occupants to adjust their thermostat setpoints remotely. This technology has the ability to empower homeowners to change their behavior in ways that could potentially lower energy consumption. Wi-Fi thermostats offer a wide range of additional features that tend to vary from product to product (see Section 4.2).

The most significant market barrier for Wi-Fi thermostats appears to be the high upfront cost associated with most products; the average Wi-Fi enabled thermostat costs around $200.[[1]](#footnote-1) A recent evaluation of a Wi-Fi thermostat pilot program in New Hampshire recommended that the utility offer a rebate between $50 and $150 depending on percentage of customers that the local utility hoped to convert to Wi-Fi thermostats.[[2]](#footnote-2),[[3]](#footnote-3)

***Recommendation:*** The Companies should consider offering an incentive for Wi-Fi thermostats that does not exceed $100. Pilot studies in Massachusetts and New Hampshire both showed savings from Wi-Fi thermostats and both states currently offer $100 incentives for Wi-Fi thermostats. The Team proposes that the Companies consider incentivizing Wi-Fi thermostats as this measure was not included in the Connecticut Single-Family Potential Study[[4]](#footnote-4) (the Potential Study) and therefore has not been screened for cost-effectiveness in Connecticut.

## Foundation Wall Insulation

The recent Single-Family Weatherization Baseline Assessment[[5]](#footnote-5) shows that 34% of Connecticut homes with foundation walls in conditioned space have uninsulated foundation walls. This indicates a significant opportunity for foundation wall insulation improvements in existing single-family homes in the state.

Insulating foundation walls results in decreased energy consumption and provides homeowners with flexibility for their basement space, as it brings the space into the “thermal envelope” of the home. It also often reduces distribution losses, since basement ductwork and/or heating pipes are moved into the conditioned space of the building.

Up-front cost and moisture problems are the primary barriers to the installation of foundation wall insulation and may deter certain homeowners from pursuing such retrofits (see Section 4.3 for additional details).

***Recommendation:*** The Companies should consider the pros and cons of providing incentives for foundation wall insulation. The potential liability stemming from unintended moisture problems associated with this upgrade may preclude its inclusion in the HES and HES-IE programs (See Section 4.3). Very few energy efficiency programs currently incentivize this measure and moisture concerns are likely one of the contributing factors. Nevertheless, despite the market barriers associated with foundation wall insulation retrofits, incentivizing this type of insulation is likely to provide cost-effective energy savings (average Benefit/Cost [B/C] ratio of 1.56 in the Potential Study) and increase compliance with the current weatherization standard.

## Water Heater Tank Wrap Insulation

Water heater tank wrap insulation is an after-market product that can be used to increase the efficiency of water heaters with storage tanks. A low cost retrofit, tank wrap has been shown to produce cost-effective savings for older, storage tank water heaters. A review draft of the recent Potential Study suggests that water heater tank wrap is, on average, cost-effective when self-installed (average B/C ratio of 6.93) or installed by a contractor (average B/C ratio of 1.83).

***Recommendation:*** The Companies should directly install tank wrap insulation through the HES and HES-IE programs in homes with older water heater storage tanks. The U.S. Department of Energy proposes installing external insulation when the internal water heater insulation is less than R-24.[[6]](#footnote-6) HES and HES-IE vendors should identify the existing R-value for water heater tanks during site visits and install tank wrap insulation where applicable.

## Solar-Assisted Hot Water Systems

Solar-assisted hot water systems (also known as solar thermal systems) use solar panels to collect the sun’s thermal energy and heat water for domestic use. Connecticut currently offers financing for solar thermal installations but does not offer any additional incentives. There are federal tax credits available for solar thermal installations which reduce the costs for homeowners, though the up-front cost can still be quite expensive. While solar-assisted hot water systems are highly efficient, a recent study showed that a readily available heat pump water heater outperformed two types of solar hot water systems (see Section 6.3).

***Recommendation:*** The Companies should continue to focus incentive efforts on heat pump water heaters and high efficiency gas water heaters. While solar-assisted hot water systems have the potential to save a significant amount of energy, they have been outperformed by heat pump water heaters in certain studies and were shown to have an average B/C ratio of less than 1.0 (B/C ratio of 0.85) in the Potential Study.

# Introduction

The evaluation team conducted secondary research for four measures that may provide future energy savings opportunities and are not currently incentivized through the HES and HES-IE programs. The following measures were considered for this study:

* Wi-Fi Thermostats
* Foundation wall insulation
* Water heater tank wrap insulation
* Solar-assisted hot water systems

The evaluation team selected these measures based on two considerations: the B/C ratio as determined in the Potential Study cited earlier and discussions with the EEB Evaluation Consultant. Foundation wall insulation (B/C ratio of 1.56) and water heater tank wrap insulation (B/C ratio of 6.93)[[7]](#footnote-7) both showed average B/C ratios that were greater than 1.0 in the potential study.[[8]](#footnote-8) Solar-assisted hot water systems showed an average B/C ratio of 0.85. These results, and the fact that these measures are not currently incentivized by the Connecticut electric and gas utilities, led to the decision to include these measures in this study. WiFi thermostats were not included in the single-family potential study and were added to this study at the request of the EEB Evaluation Consultant.

The remainder of this memo summarizes the market drivers, market barriers, and other program experiences (if applicable) associated with each of these four measures.

# Wi-Fi Thermostats

Wi-Fi thermostats (also known as smart thermostats) have become readily available in recent years and offer significant flexibility to occupants when compared to traditional manual or programmable thermostats. In addition to retail and contractor availability, these thermostats are now offered as an option with a number of different home security systems. The flexibility created by Wi-Fi thermostats has the potential to cause changes in behavior that decreases energy consumption. Specifically, Wi-Fi thermostats allow users to program temperature setpoints for different days and times, similar to traditional programmable thermostats. The difference between programmable thermostats and Wi-Fi thermostats is that Wi-Fi thermostats can be controlled remotely through smart phones applications, web-sites, or other means and technologies to provide additional setpoint flexibility. Wi-Fi thermostats also offer many add-on capabilities (e.g., notifications and alerts, weather updates) increasing their potential value to occupants.

## Market Drivers

The evaluation team identified the following market drivers for Wi-Fi thermostats.

**Alerts and Other Features**

Wi-Fi thermostats offer homeowners benefits beyond the ability to adjust thermostat setpoints remotely. Other benefits associated with Wi-Fi thermostats include the following:

* Monitoring heating and cooling system run-time
* Notifying occupants when setpoints exceed or fall below the programmed levels
* Alerting homeowners if the heating or cooling system fails
* Sending text messages to the thermostat console for household members to see
* Presenting of weather forecasts on the thermostat console
* Alerting homeowners when to change filters
* Integration with utility-based smart grid technologies[[9]](#footnote-9)
* Reporting features which allow homeowners more insight into their consumption habits and cost savings opportunities

**Self-Adjusting Ability**

Some thermostats, such as the Nest[[10]](#footnote-10) thermostat, have the ability to self-adjust. These thermostats learn the behavior of occupants and program themselves over time. Occupants must teach the thermostat how to work for about a week, and at that point the thermostat begins to self-regulate itself. This type of thermostat makes it so that occupants do not have to regulate their own thermostats and ultimately can result in increased energy savings and increased comfort.

**Smart Grid Integration**

“Smart grid”–a current buzz word in the energy efficiency industry–“generally refers to a class of technology people are using to bring utility electricity delivery systems into the 21st century, using computer-based remote control and automation,” according to the Department of Energy.[[11]](#footnote-11) One feature of the smart grid is its ability to connect homeowners’ thermostats directly to utilities. This is beneficial for the utilities, as they can control homeowners’ thermostats during peak demand events and adjust the temperature setpoint to reduce the peak demand (with the permission of the customer). Kansas City Power & Light (KCP&L) currently runs a program in which they provide homeowners and businesses with smart thermostats so that they (KCP&L) have the flexibility to control said thermostats during peak demand periods.[[12]](#footnote-12)

## Market Barriers

The evaluation team identified the following market barriers for Wi-Fi thermostats.

**Cost**

As is the case with many emerging technologies, Wi-Fi thermostats come at a cost that some consumers may consider prohibitive. The average Wi-Fi enabled thermostat costs around $200.[[13]](#footnote-13) In comparison, traditional programmable thermostats can be purchased for as little as $20.[[14]](#footnote-14)

Wi-Fi thermostats, as indicated in the name of the technology, require access to wireless networks to be fully functional. This fact, in conjunction with the relatively high up-front costs associated with these thermostats, could lead to some markets being excluded from access to Wi-Fi thermostats because of financial and technological constraints.

**Installation**

Many thermostats can be self-installed, including Wi-Fi thermostats. However, some homes may not have all of the necessary wiring to install these thermostats as they require more connections than older thermostat technologies. As a result, some occupants may need to hire a contractor to install a Wi-Fi thermostat, which increases the cost of installation.

**Security and Privacy Concerns**

Given that these thermostats are connected to wireless networks, some occupants may have misgivings about security. Recently, some consumers have expressed reservations about whether it is possible to hack Wi-Fi thermostats.[[15]](#footnote-15) A Wi-Fi thermostat that has been hacked could provide hackers with information about when a homeowner is or is not at home. Whether a Wi-Fi thermostat can be compromised remotely has not yet been proven, however.

## Program Experiences

The Team reviewed two local Wi-Fi thermostat pilot program experiences to assess how other utilities have fared when incorporating these technologies into their list of incentivized measures.

**New Hampshire Wi-Fi Programmable Thermostat Pilot Program[[16]](#footnote-16)**

Liberty Utilities in New Hampshire created a pilot program for its natural gas customers to replace existing programmable thermostats with new Wi-Fi thermostats. Specifically, the program installed the Venstar ColorTouch T5800 thermostat at 29 participating homes.

Based on a billing analysis, the study found that the average home that participated in the program saw savings of 69 therms during the 2012-2013 heating season. The 69 therms of savings were equivalent to 8% of the baseline natural gas consumption.

Of the 29 homes that were included in the study, 23 were included in the billing analysis. The billing analysis showed that three of the 23 participants (13%) actually increased their gas consumption after installing the thermostat. These participants may have changed their behavior due to the flexibility that Wi-Fi thermostats offer, in turn increasing their energy consumption instead of decreasing it.

The majority of participants (88%) found installation of the thermostats to be “very easy,” “easy,” or “neutral.” None of the participants reported difficulty programming their thermostats.

Participants suggested that the utility offer a rebate of $50-$100 for a $200 Wi-Fi thermostat to encourage adoption. The evaluation contractor suggested the utility offer rebates as high as $150 as that is what participants’ “willingness to pay” responses suggested would be necessary in some cases.

**Massachusetts & Rhode Island Wi-Fi Programmable Controllable Thermostat Pilot Program[[17]](#footnote-17)**

National Grid (of Massachusetts and Rhode Island) created a Wi-Fi thermostat pilot program which offered free Wi-Fi thermostats to 86 households (69 in Massachusetts and 17 in Rhode Island). The program provided participants with the Ecobee Wi-Fi thermostat.

Through a billing analysis, the program found that households with one thermostat installation showed gas savings of 11% per thermostat over the average annual pre-installation gas usage, while households with two thermostat installations showed gas savings of 8% per thermostat over the average annual pre-installation gas usage. The program also found that Wi-Fi thermostats that replaced non-programmable thermostats showed higher gas savings (10% per thermostat replacement) than Wi-Fi thermostats replacing programmable thermostats (8% per thermostat replacement).

The program found that savings from Wi-Fi thermostats can vary widely from household to household as the savings are largely a function of occupant behavior. In some cases, the program found that energy savings from Wi-Fi thermostats were similar to those of a standard programmable thermostat.

# Foundation Wall Insulation

Foundation wall insulation can be installed on either the interior or exterior of the foundation walls, but exterior foundation insulation is typically only installed during the construction process, as foundation walls are generally located below grade and buried during construction. As a result, retrofit-based foundation wall insulation is likely to be placed on the interior of foundation walls.

The recent Single-Family Weatherization Baseline Assessment[[18]](#footnote-18) shows that 34% of Connecticut homes with foundation walls in conditioned space (or 18% of all Connecticut single-family homes) have uninsulated foundation walls. This indicates a significant opportunity for foundation wall insulation improvements in existing single-family homes in the state.

## Potential Study Findings

In the Potential Study, the models assumed foundation wall upgrades to R-13 cavity insulation in homes that had conditioned basements but had insulation with an R-value less than R-13 for cavity insulation or R-10 for continuous insulation. The Team found that foundation wall insulation upgrades to this level resulted in an average B/C ratio of 1.56 using the Total Resource Cost (TRC) test. [[19]](#footnote-19) In total, upgrades were applied to models for 91 sites, and 45 of those sites (49%) had a B/C ratio greater than 1.0 using the TRC test.

## Market Drivers

The evaluation team identified the following market drivers for foundation wall insulation.

**Various Insulation Material and Installation Options**

One benefit of insulating foundation walls in basements is that there are a variety of options as to which insulating material to use and how to install it.[[20]](#footnote-20) In existing homes, interior foundation wall insulation is typically the only solution for insulating the foundation. For homeowners that do not intend to finish the basement, it may make sense to install rigid foam insulation (e.g., foil-face polyisocyanurate board), which is fastened directly the foundation walls. This insulation is typically easy to install, as there are not many obstructions (e.g., plumbing fixtures or electrical wires) on foundation walls. Alternatively, if homeowners intend to finish their basement, they may want to build stud walls and insulate the cavities in between the studs. This method allows homeowners to put up drywall and finish the space.

Building Science Corporation has done a nice job of detailing various foundation wall insulation options on their website.[[21]](#footnote-21) The proper insulation approach depends on a variety of factors including—but not limited to—future use of the space, cost, moisture control, and energy savings.

**More Comfortable Space**

Insulating the foundation walls of a basement can provide a homeowner with more usable space. Installing foundation wall insulation brings the basement into the “thermal envelope” of the home. This keeps the basement warmer in the winter, which can be a major benefit in cold climates as it can prevent pipes from freezing. Insulating the foundation walls also allows homeowners to make the basement a usable space, either by finishing it or by capturing the HVAC system distribution losses to indirectly heat the basement space, thereby making it more comfortable.

## Market Barriers

The evaluation team identified the following market barriers for foundation wall insulation.

**Moisture Problems**

One issue with foundation wall insulation is that it can create or magnify moisture problems associated with basements. Foundation walls are most often located at least partially below grade and are in contact with moist ground. For this reason, it is imperative that insulation contractors account for potential moisture problems before installing foundation wall insulation. Some insulation materials (specifically, fiberglass) are not suitable for direct contact with the foundation wall because they will absorb moisture and create mold/mildew problems. Moisture is a very real concern, and could scare some homeowners away from installing foundation wall insulation.

**Cost**

Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnership (NEEP) recently conducted an incremental cost study which showed that insulating a previously uninsulated foundation wall to R-16 is associated with a total installed cost of $2.93 per square foot.[[22]](#footnote-22) That cost is higher than the average cost of insulating other building shell components typically associated with higher energy savings, such as attics ($2.02 per square foot to insulate an uninsulated attic to R-19) or above grade walls ($2.02 to insulate an uninsulated wall to R-19). These results, in combination with the fact that above grade wall and ceiling insulation are likely to yield a quicker payback, may create a barrier for certain homeowners when deciding which retrofit opportunities to pursue.

## Program Experience

Not many states appear to currently offer incentives for foundation wall insulation. This could be partially due to the fact that foundation wall insulation is often associated with moisture control issues, or that it may not be cost-effective in all applications. Moisture control concerns have the potential to be a liability for utilities offering incentives for foundation wall insulation.

**Efficiency Maine**

In the Northeast, Efficiency Maine’s Home Energy Savings Program offers a $500 incentive for basement wall insulation that is increased to R-15 or 2” of thick continuous foam and is compliant with the fire code.[[23]](#footnote-23) The Home Energy Savings Program is designed to provide homeowners with a whole-house energy assessment and encourage the adoption of comprehensive energy upgrades; this program is similar to the HES and HES-IE programs in Connecticut.

# Water Heater Tank Wrap Insulation

Water heater tank wrap insulation is an after-market product that can be used to increase the efficiency of storage tank water heaters. Tank wrap insulation can be added to any water heater storage tank, regardless of the fuel type, as long as care is taken during the installation process.

## Potential Study Findings

In the Potential Study, R-10 water heater tank wrap was modeled for all storage tank water heaters that did not already have an exterior tank wrap. A cost-effectiveness screening analysis showed that water heater tank wrap, when self-installed, has an average benefit/cost ratio of 6.93 using the TRC test. The average benefit/cost ratio drops to 1.83 if one assumes that the tank wrap is installed by a certified contractor.

## Market Drivers

The evaluation team identified the following market drivers for water heater tank wrap insulation.

**Cost**

Water heater tank wrap insulation is easy to procure, relatively cheap, and can be found in most hardware stores for a cost of approximately $25. In addition to low material cost, water heater tank wrap can be self-installed, eliminating labor installation costs.

## Market Barriers

The following market barriers were identified for water heater tank wrap insulation.

**Increasing R-value of New Storage Tanks**

New water heaters with storage tanks have a higher R-value of insulation surrounding the tanks than older water heaters had. As a result, using water heater tank wrap insulation is likely not cost-effective on newer storage tanks. That said, many of the storage systems in existing homes (retrofitted through programs such as HES and HES-IE) have older storage tanks where tank wrap insulation would likely be cost-effective.

**Self-Installation Challenges**

While water heater tank wrap insulation can be self-installed, this may present challenges under certain circumstances; many homeowners install water heater tank wrap insulation incorrectly. Common self-installation errors include:

* Covering the thermostat
* Blocking combustion air intake at the base of a gas or propane fired tank
* Blocking of the burner area
* Installing the wrap too close to the flue, increasing the risk of fire

## California Experience

In California, the Title 24 standards currently require R-12 tank wrap insulation on unfired tanks (i.e., indirect water heater tanks) and gas storage tanks with an energy factor equal to or less than the federal minimum standards.[[24]](#footnote-24) The California Codes and Standards Initiative recently investigated whether or not installing water heater tank wrap insulation is cost-effective.[[25]](#footnote-25) The study found that installing tank wrap is cost-effective when the internal insulation is less than 2 inches thick, which is the case in many existing water heater tanks. Conversely, the study found that installing tank wrap on new water heater tanks is typically not cost-effective, because new DOE standards require a minimum of 2 inches of internal insulation.

# Solar-Assisted Hot Water Systems

According to the Massachusetts Clean Energy Center (MassCEC), “A solar hot water system captures heat from sunlight and circulates the thermal energy to your water tank. Solar hot water systems reduce the usage of traditional water heating fuels (such as oil, electricity, or natural gas) and thereby reduce the amount you spend purchasing these fuels. These systems do not fully replace conventional water heaters, but can provide up to 80% of a building’s total hot water needs.”[[26]](#footnote-26)

## Potential Study Findings

The Single-Family Potential Study found that solar-assisted hot water systems have an average benefit/cost ratio of 0.85 using the TRC test. This measure was modeled as an upgrade at 108 out of the 180 sites considered in the study, and had a benefit/cost ratio greater than or equal to 1.0 at 48% of the sites. It is important to note that the Team did not assess the feasibility of solar hot water during the onsite inspections that were part of the Weatherization Baseline Assessment. As a result, we randomly selected 60% of the sites and applied the solar-assisted hot water heater upgrade.[[27]](#footnote-27)

## Market Drivers

Market drivers for solar-assisted hot water heaters are detailed below.

**Federal Tax Credits**

Because solar-assisted hot water heaters are considered a renewable energy resource, they are currently backed by the federal government in the form of a tax credit. The federal government currently provides a tax credit of 30% on qualified expenditures for a solar hot water system that provides at least half of the water heating energy for a property.[[28]](#footnote-28)

**State-Level Incentives**

Many states have implemented their own Renewable Portfolio Standards, which drive the market for solar-assisted hot water systems. For example, Massachusetts offers the Commonwealth Solar Hot Water Program, which provides rebates for solar hot water systems. The Massachusetts Clean Energy Center has reserved $10 million of funding for the program, which is expected to last until the end of 2016.[[29]](#footnote-29) Similarly, NYSERDA offers incentives up to $4,000 per site for residential systems and $25,000 per site for non-residential application to New York State residents and businesses.[[30]](#footnote-30)

## Market Barriers

Market barriers for solar-assisted hot water heaters are detailed below.

**Lack of Awareness**

While there are many benefits associated with solar-assisted hot water systems, many homeowners are still unaware of the technology, which creates a barrier to increasing market penetration. The New York City Economic Development Corporation (NYCEDC) recently conducted a study to address barriers to the installation of solar thermal technologies.[[31]](#footnote-31) The NYCEDC cited consumer lack of awareness as one of three major barriers to solar thermal installations.[[32]](#footnote-32) They attributed this lack of awareness to the fact that reliable performance data is difficult to find for solar thermal installations.

**Competitive High Efficiency Water Heaters**

Relatively newer water heating technologies such as on-demand tankless gas water heaters and heat pump water heaters have emerged as attainable high-efficiency alternatives in the water heating market over the last few years. A 2013 study by the Florida Solar Energy Center showed a heat pump water heater outperforming two different solar hot water systems (2.75 kWh/day consumption vs. 3.0 kWh/day and 3.4 kWh/day consumption, respectively). While these findings are not directly applicable to Connecticut homes due to their having been gathered in a much sunnier climate, the cost of a heat pump water heater is less than that of a solar-assisted hot water system by nearly half. Additionally, the Companies already offer a $400 rebate for heat pump water heaters.

## Program Experience

Solar hot water heater programs have been implemented in multiple states; summaries of a few are presented below. Connecticut currently offers financing options for solar hot water installations but they do not offer rebates.[[33]](#footnote-33)

**NYSERDA**

NYSERDA launched a solar thermal program in December 2010. The program provides incentives for solar hot water systems that displace electrically-heated domestic hot water.[[34]](#footnote-34) As mentioned in Section 6.2, NYSERDA offers incentives up to $4,000 per site for residential systems and $25,000 per site for non-residential application to New York State residents and businesses.[[35]](#footnote-35)As of December 31, 2013, NYSERDA had installed 299 solar thermal systems, resulting in 2,117 kW of solar thermal by nameplate capacity.

**Massachusetts**

The Massachusetts Clean Energy Center currently has $10 million reserved for the Commonwealth Solar Hot Water program.[[36]](#footnote-36) The funding is expected to last through at least 2016. Prior to the development of the Commonwealth Solar Hot Water Program, the state had funded two separate solar hot water pilots that resulted in 300 residential and commercial solar hot water installations. The Commonwealth Solar Hot Water program will offer incentives to residential, multi-family, and commercial-scale buildings. Rebates vary based on the number of collectors installed, the performance rating of the collectors, and whether the system qualifies for additional incentives. Residential rebates are capped at the lesser of $4,500 or 40% of the installed cost, while commercial rebates are capped at $100,000.

**Maryland**

Maryland has an RPS that requires that 20% of the energy sold in Maryland come from qualified renewable energy sources by 2022, with 2% coming from qualified solar resources.[[37]](#footnote-37) The Maryland Energy Administration currently offers an incentive of $500 per project with between 10 and 100 square feet of solar hot water panels.[[38]](#footnote-38)

# Conclusions

The evaluation team conducted secondary research for Wi-Fi thermostats, foundation wall insulation, water heater tank wrap insulation, and solar-assisted hot water systems, none of which are currently incentivized through the HES and HES-IE programs. Specifically, market drivers, market barriers, and applicable program experiences were investigated for these measures. After conducting a thorough review of secondary literature the Team recommends that the Companies incentivize water heater tank wrap insulation and consider incentivizing Wi-Fi thermostats moving forward. The Team proposes that the Companies consider incentivizing Wi-Fi thermostats as this measure was not included in the Potential Study and therefore has not been screened for cost-effectiveness in Connecticut. These measures are recommended based on their expected savings and limited market barriers. Foundation wall insulation and solar-assisted hot water systems have market barriers that prevent them from being recommended as an incentivized measure at this time.
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